



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/683,918

10/10/2003

Darran Potter

50325-0809

2248

29989

7590

05/04/2009

HICKMAN PALERMO TRUONG & BECKER, LLP
2055 GATEWAY PLACE
SUITE 550
SAN JOSE, CA 95110

EXAMINER

TAYLOR, NICHOLAS R

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2441

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

05/04/2009

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/683,918	Applicant(s) POTTER ET AL.	
	Examiner Nicholas Taylor	Art Unit 2441	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 March 2009.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-12, 14-29, 31-34, 37, 38 and 41-48 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-12, 14-29, 31-34, 37, 38 and 41-48 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 10 October 2003 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114.

Applicant's submission filed on March 23rd, 2009, has been entered.

2. Claims 1-12, 14-29, 31-34, 37, 38, and 41-48 have been presented for examination and are rejected.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed March 23rd, 2009, with respect to the claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new grounds of rejection.

Claim Objections

4. Claims 1 and 18 are objected to because of the following informalities:

“at least a portion the account” as used twice in each claim. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

6. Claims 1, 2, 4-12, 14-19, 21-29, 31-34, 37, 38, and 41-48 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Jones et al. (WIPO Publication WO 03/030483).

7. As per claims 1, 15, 18, and 32, Jones teaches a computer-implemented method for improving service accounting in a network, the method comprising the steps of:

in response to a first Authentication, Authorization, and Accounting server receiving a request to authorize a client, said first server obtaining an accounting record for the client and (Jones, see pg. 5, lines 10-25 overview where an AAA server receives a request to authorize a client and obtains a record; see also fig. 2 process)

said first server sending an a Remote Authentication Dial In User Service Protocol (RADIUS) access accept message that includes at least a portion of the accounting record within the access accept message; (Jones, see pg. 9 line 26 to pg. 10, line 8, where the accounting record is within the access accept message; see also fig. 5A and pg. 7, lines 10-14; pg. 9, lines 1-9; and claims 1, 13, and 14 of pgs. 18 and 19)

causing at least a portion of the accounting record to be logged; a second AAA server receiving a RADIUS start session message that includes at least a portion of the

Art Unit: 2441

accounting record within the start session message (Jones, see pg. 10, lines 23-35 where the record is logged and then sent to a second AAA server as part of a RADIUS start session message; see also fig. 5B; pg. 7, lines 10-15).

8. As per claims 2 and 19, Jones teaches the system further comprising the step of obtaining the accounting record for the client from an external resource (Jones, e.g., see pg. 5, lines 25-30 where the resource may be local or external).

9. As per claim 4 and 21, Jones teaches the system further wherein the client is selected from the group consisting of a wireless network client, a wired network client, and a dial up client (Jones, pg. 5, lines 19-24).

10. As per claims 5, 16, 22, and 33, Jones teaches the system further wherein the step of causing to be logged comprises causing the accounting record to be logged on a dedicated logging device (Jones, see, e.g., pg. 11, lines 4-13).

11. As per claims 6, 17, 23, and 34, Jones teaches the system further wherein the step of causing to be logged comprises causing at least a portion of the accounting record to be logged on an Authentication, Authorization, and Accounting server (Jones, pg. 5, line 25 to pg. 6, line 15; pg. 9, line 33 to pg. 10, line 8; and pg. 10, lines 23-35).

Art Unit: 2441

12. As per claims 7 and 24, Jones teaches the system further wherein the step of causing to be logged comprises causing at least a portion of the accounting record to be logged on a network device (Jones, pg. 5, line 25 to pg. 6, line 15; pg. 9, line 33 to pg. 10, line 8; and pg. 10, lines 23-35).

13. As per claims 8 and 25, Jones teaches the system further wherein the step of causing to be logged comprises causing at least a portion of the accounting record to be logged with a session start log entry (Jones, see billing col. of figs. 5A, 5B, 6A, and 6B, wherein start and stop log entries are inherent in tracking the duration of active sessions for determining billing intervals).

14. As per claims 9 and 26, Jones teaches the system further wherein the step of causing to be logged comprises causing at least a portion of the accounting record to be logged with a session stop log entry (Jones, see billing col. of figs. 5A, 5B, 6A, and 6B, wherein start and stop log entries are inherent in tracking the duration of active sessions for determining billing intervals).

15. As per claims 10 and 27, Jones teaches the system further wherein the accounting record comprises a handle to a second accounting record (Jones, see pg. 11, lines 4-18; pg. 13, lines 21-28; and pg. 16, lines 1-11).

Art Unit: 2441

16. As per claims 11 and 28, Jones teaches the system further comprising the steps of: retrieving the second accounting record using the handle to the second accounting record; and causing at least a portion of the second accounting record to be logged (Jones, see pg. 11, lines 4-18; pg. 13, lines 21-28; and pg. 16, lines 1-11).

17. As per claims 12 and 29, Jones teaches the system further wherein the step of said first server sending at least a portion of the accounting record further comprises said first server sending data of said portion as a plurality of attribute-value pairs in said access accept message (Jones, pg. 9, lines 33 to pg. 10, line 13).

18. As per claims 14 and 31, Jones teaches the system further wherein said portion of the accounting record sent in said access accept message comprises data used by said first Authentication, Authorization, and Accounting server to authorize the client (Jones, pg. 5, line 25 to pg. 6, line 15; pg. 9, line 33 to pg. 10, line 8; and pg. 10, lines 23-35, where the access accept message is described).

19. As per claims 37 and 41, Jones teaches the system further wherein said first server and said second server are the same Authentication, Authorization, and Accounting (AAA) server (Jones, pg. 5, line 25 to pg. 6, line 15; pg. 9, line 33 to pg. 10, line 8; and pg. 10, lines 23-35).

Art Unit: 2441

20. As per claims 38 and 42, Jones teaches the system further wherein said first server and said second server are different load balanced Authentication, Authorization, and Accounting (AAA) servers that are both configured to implement the Remote Authentication Dial In User Service protocol (RADIUS) network protocol (Jones, pg. 5, line 25 to pg. 6, line 15; pg. 9, line 33 to pg. 10, line 8; and pg. 10, lines 23-35).

21. As per claims 43 and 46, Jones teaches the system further comprising wherein the step of said first server sending a Remote Authentication Dial In User Service protocol (RADIUS) access accept message that includes at least a portion of the accounting record further comprises sending the portion in RADIUS Class Attributes or RADIUS Vendor Specific Attributes (Jones, pg. 9, lines 33 to pg. 10, line 13).

22. As per claims 44 and 47, Jones teaches the system further wherein the handle to the second accounting record comprises an identifier of the second accounting record that uniquely identifies the second accounting record from among a plurality of accounting records (Jones, see pg. 11, lines 4-18; pg. 13, lines 21-28; and pg. 16, lines 1-11).

23. As per claims 45 and 48, Jones teaches the system further wherein the identifier of the second accounting record comprises one or more of an internet protocol address associated with the client, an internet protocol address associated with the first or

Art Unit: 2441

second Authentication, Authorization, and Accounting (AAA) server, or a random string (Jones, see pg. 11, lines 4-18; pg. 13, lines 21-28; and pg. 16, lines 1-11).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

24. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

25. Claims 3 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jones et al. (WIPO Publication WO 03/030483) and Wang et al. (U.S. PGPub 2003/0035409).

26. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

27. As per claims 3 and 20, Jones teaches the above, yet fails to teach the step of obtaining the accounting record for the client from a Lightweight Directory Access Protocol directory.

Wang teaches a wireless service gateway using an AAA server that implements the lightweight directory access protocol (Wang, paragraphs 0109-0115, 0186-0187, and fig. 2 structure).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to have combined Jones and Wang to provide the LDAP protocol-based account records in the system of Jones, because doing so would provide additional protocol support for passing data between network nodes (Jones, see figs. 6A and 6B transmissions). Further, the use of the LDAP protocol in Jones would combine several well-known elements in a manner that one skilled in the art could have combined using known methods that yield predictable results.

Conclusion

28. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nicholas Taylor whose telephone number is (571) 272-3889. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8:00am to 5:30pm, with alternating Fridays off.

Art Unit: 2441

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rupal Dharia can be reached on (571) 272-3880. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/NT/
Nicholas Taylor
Examiner
Art Unit 2441

/Larry D Donaghue/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2454